

Test-Driven Development for Portal Applications

Mark Vardy, Graham Harper Application Architects IBM Software Services for Lotus

Lotus software



Agenda

Introductions

- Benefits and principles of test-driven development
- Example application
- How do we test a portlet?
- Creating failing tests
- Making the tests pass (a.k.a. writing the portlet)
- Taking things further...





Benefits and principles of test-driven development





Benefits of test-driven development

- Requires clear requirements first
- Forces developer to understand the requirements before writing code
- Forces design first, in particular interface design
- Bugs are detected earlier and hence are cheaper to resolve
- Makes refactoring safer and more straightforward
- Provides regression tests



Principles of test-driven development

- Write a failing test first, then write code to make the test pass
- Make small steps
- Test frequently
- Only write enough code to make the test pass
- Tests must be automated





Our example





Our example portal application

- A simple portal application to assist the beer festival attendee, which we will call "Beer-to-Beer Networking"
- Consists of a single portal page and four cooperating portlets (or should that be "porter-lets"?)
- Ideal for a "mobile" scenario, but we will not discuss that aspect here



IBM Software Group | IBM Software Services for Lotus



IBM

Beer-to-Beer Networking

- A calendar portlet that broadcasts a public render parameter (PRP) when a date is selected
- A "List of Beers" portlet that shows those beers available on the selected day
 - Select desired beers and submit
- A "Book a Beer" portlet that allows those beers to be poured for you on the selected day
- A directions portlet that shows you how to get to the venue serving the selected beers (or possibly home again)
 - Potential integration with Google Maps etc



Our chosen portlet: "List of Beers"

- Shows nothing unless a there is a current "selected day"
- If a day is selected, shows a list of available beers
- When beer names are selected and a button pressed, an event is generated
 - Designed to be consumed by portlets such as "Book a Beer"



How do we test a portlet?



Portal-specific challenges

Testing portlet classes in isolation with (e.g.) JUnit is difficult

- Stubbing / mocking all platform classes is a lot of work
- Difficult to correctly monitor / drive portlet's "external contract"
 - Events in and out
 - Public render parameter interactions
 - Behaviour on minimise / maximise etc.
- How to test that the markup generated is correct?
- What about the small bits of logic that inevitably slip into JSPs?
- How to exercise the client-side portions like
 - JavaScript validations
 - Ajax calls
- We think you need to drive the user interface directly



Testing by driving the user interface

• UI-level tests (sometimes called "over-the-glass" tests)

- Allow all user interface elements to be exercised
 - Including client-side code
- Are more intuitive for analysts, business users or nonprogrammer testers to create

Tooling exists to support this approach

- Selenium / WebDriver to automatically drive a browser
- Concordion to allow "natural language" test specification



Disadvantages of approach

- Tests can take a long time to write, which discourages developers from writing them
 - Good examples and a well-developed framework can mitigate this
- UI-level tests can take longer to run than JUnit, which discourages developers from running them
 - Demonstrate the benefits to developers
- Complexity of test code increases with complexity of application
 - Again, an existing framework can mitigate this
 - Employ people who have done it before ;-)

IBM

Adding the portal specifics

- Need to test the portlet's "contract" with other portal components
 - Generic "counterparty" portlets can provide a user interface that allow test tools to:
 - Set public render parameters
 - Generate events for the portlet to receive
 - Check public render parameters set by the portlet
 - Receive and log events generated by the portlet

Need to be able to identify user interface elements within a specific portlet instance

- Create organisational naming and markup structure standards
- Create a framework of helper code for text fixtures



Creating failing tests for our portlet



Defining the contract for our example portlet

What public render parameters will it use?

- Namespace and name
- Payload

What events will it send and receive?

- Namespace, name, data type, aliases
- Payload

User interface

- Defined by the wireframe & users

IBM

Creating the tests for the portlet

Concordion specification

- The tests defined in "natural language"

WebDriver fixtures

- Java code to execute the tests (run as JUnit)
- A simple framework for a simple example

• Other tools are available:

- Concordion: Fit/FitNesse, JUnit
- WebDriver: Watij, Windmill(?)

IBA

Concordion

- Is "an open source tool for writing automated acceptance tests in Java" (from the web site)
- The tests are written in an HTML file in natural language
 - The field "name" must contain the value "Old Peculier"
- The tests can be understood and even specified without programming knowledge, e.g. by BAs or business specialists
- The tests are then "instrumented" with Concordion attributes

- Concordion attributes call methods in the corresponding "fixture" Java class that implement the described behaviour
 - e.g. openWebPage(String url), getFieldValue(String fieldName)

WebDriver

- Provides an API for driving a web browser
- Supports Firefox, IE, headless browser
- Now part of Selenium (originally separate)
- Provides a wide range of classes & methods to navigate, locate items on a page etc.

Example:

public String getFieldValue(String fieldName) {
WebDriver driver = new FirefoxDriver();
WebElement element =
 driver.findElement(By.name(fieldName));
return element.getValue();

Demo





Making the tests pass



Create the portlet

- Satisfy the inter-portlet contract in the portlet's definition
 - Declare supported public render parameters
 - Declare supported publishing and processing events
- Apply naming and structural standards required by organisation and by test framework
 - Labels for checkboxes
 - IDs (namespaced) on tags, e.g. headers

Satisfy the user interface tests, preferably one-by-one

- Correct markup to appear on page
- Required user actions made available and behaving correctly

Demo





Taking things further...



Continuous integration

Important part of agile development

- Usually use servers such as Hudson, CruiseControl

• Will typically run:

- Static analysis tools (e.g. checkstyle, PMD)
- Standalone JUnit tests
- Code coverage tools (e.g. Cobertura)

We would like it to run our UI-level tests as well

- Requires some additional effort in deployment automation

IBM

Deployment automation

- Unlike JUnit tests of standalone classes, need to actually deploy to WebSphere Portal to run tests
 - Will probably therefore schedule to run our tests less frequently

Need to deploy other Portal artefacts along with the portlet

- Page to host portlet
- Counter party portlets to tests events and PRPs in and out
- Wires to connect portlet and event counter parties
- Can determine these requirements and generate deployment scripts automatically by parsing *portlet.xml*

Journey-level tests

So far we have concentrated on testing a single portlet

- How do we test an entire portal application?

• We can create UI tests at the level of user journeys

- Idea is to test the pages, wires and interactions between portlets
- Do not repeat testing of functionality within individual portlets
- Test the correct "flow" of the user experience

Challenges:

- Distinguishing between portlets / portlet instances on a page
 - Checking correct portlets present
 - Driving user actions on correct portlet
- Deploying the whole portal application for continuous integration



Questions?

